

Budget & Planning Meeting
Wednesday - May 3, 2006
Building 22, Room 109
1:30 to 3:30 P.M.

Present:

Michael Blackey, ASCC Rep
 Margie Carrington, Co-Chair -College Council
 Patty Dilko, Chair & Academic Senate President
 Phyllis Lucas-Woods, Vice President of Student Services
 Monica Malamud, College Council Chair
 Marilyn McBride, Vice President of Instruction
 Vickie Nunes, College Business Officer
 Paul Roscelli, Faculty Rep
 Soraya Sohrabi, CSEA Rep
 Terry Watson, Classified Senate President

Guests:

Maggie Souza

Absent:

Thomas Mohr, Interim President

AGENDA ITEM	CONTENT	WHO
1) APPROVAL OF MINUTES 4/19/06	The minutes were approved as amended.	Margie Carrington, Patty Dilko
2) BUSINESS I. Planning & Budget Constitution	Reviewed the following comments received from the Campus Division Meetings: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Most thought it a good idea for the Budget & Planning Committee to become more formal ▪ Supported name change to Planning & Budget Committee ▪ Classified and Humanities/Science & Technology/Business Workforce Divisions agreed on the concept of Budget & Planning reporting to and advising College Council who then would advise the President. ▪ Noted that items listed under “Purpose” seem to be the same as what College Council does but Planning & Budget could be the working group. ▪ Liked the idea of the 2nd student representation ▪ Questions on College Business Officer being Ex-Officio with no recommendations. ▪ Humanities Division recommended that we 	Patty Dilko, Chair

<p>II. Brainstorm the Faculty Hiring Prioritization Process</p>	<p>identify one of the faculty members as an AFT rep.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Chair Designation supported ▪ Rules for Meeting: Questions on what does consensus really mean. ▪ Record Keeping: Agenda & Minutes distributed College-wide 72 hours in advance of meeting <p>Past Budget & Planning Agendas and minutes will be posted on the Budget & Planning website.</p> <p>Brainstorming included:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - What went wrong with process? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Criteria did not connect with criteria used by ISSC – ISSC needs to be clearer. Suggested that there be faculty representation (Academic Senate) at meeting. ▪ Send a letter with criteria listed to Academic Senate. ▪ Who is going to critique at the Division level? Need to get the views from Division Dean/Faculty/College ▪ Need to know how many positions can be funded ▪ Lately vacated positions – do you reopen process/reprioritized/new justification? ▪ Document needed for submissions by faculty <p>Question arose if current Program Review is needed to submit with hiring request – even though Program Reviews are required to be done every six years there are some Departments where Program Reviews that still have not done their Program Review. Would Academic Senate accept Bi-Annual Program Reviews? Rubric??</p> <p>Recommended that a logical process for mid year hires be developed.</p>	<p>Committee Members</p>
<p>III. Budget Update</p>	<p>Distributed and reviewed budget document “Assumptions for the 2006-07 Tentative Budget. These assumptions are subject to change with the May Revise, the state budget, revised assumptions for District fixed costs, results for negotiations and the District’s actual 05/06 FTES. Our concerns are if we have to adjust Position Control based on allocations.</p>	<p>Vickie Nunes, College Business Officer</p>

<p>IV. Campus Change Network</p>	<p>Informed members that our College has been invited take part in the Campus Change Network in fall 2006. The Campus Change Network is designed around a peer learning inquiry based approach that involves reflection, assessment and planning of campus-wide strategies aimed at systemically addressing access, equity and diversity issues on a college campus. A combination of cross campus meetings are held once a semester to provide faculty with resources and tools as well as an opportunity to learn and share promising practices in addressing access and equity issues being implemented on other campuses. California Tomorrow provides participating Colleges with individualized technical assistance. This networking would occur two times a year and involve 6 to 8 faculty members. Our College would be responsible in funding the travel expenses.</p> <p>Discussion among members took place. It was decided that due to lack of funding, insufficient information on the benefits of the program (would like to see results from other participating Colleges), and since our College needs to focus on its Strategic Plan and Accreditation, that we not participate in this program.</p>	<p>Patty Dilko, Chair</p>
<p>3) ADJOURNMENT</p>	<p>Meeting adjourned at 3:45 P.M.</p>	<p>Patty Dilko, Chair</p>