Table 1. Enrollment Patterns & Course Offerings

		Academic Year					
Department	Metric	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	
ENGR	Student Headcount	81	97	135	151	168	
	Total Course Enrollments	127	149	243	284	283	
	# of Course Offerings	8	10	12	12	14	
	# of Section Offerings	11	14	16	16	19	
	Ave Enrollment per Section*	11.5	10.6	15.2	17.8	14.9	

*Color Coding: Peach shaded cells contain values at least 10% lower than the college average; blue shaded cells at least 10% above the college average.


Data Definitions: Student Headcount is the count of individual students (no duplicates) enrolled in all courses within the Department

Total Course Enrollments is the sum of all course enrollments (filled seats) within the Department.

of Course Offerings is the number of courses offered within the department for that Academic Year.

of Section Offerings is the number of course sections offered within the department for that Academic Year.

Ave Enrollment per Section is the average number of students per section (Average Class Size).



- * Compare course enrollments to section offerings. What is the relationship between the two trends?
- * Consider the trend in average enrollments per section. How does that trend compare to the trend in section offerings?
- * How does your Department's average enrollment per section compare to the college average? Why might they be different?
- * Consider the levels & growth of course enrollments and unique headcount. What does the difference tell you about your students?
- * Do the trends suggest any goals or enrollment targets for the department?

Table 2. Department Efficiency

		Academic Year				
Department	Metric	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12
ENGR	WSCH	660	843	1124	1556	1290
	FTES	22.0	28.1	37.5	51.9	43.0
	FTE	1.6	2	2.3	2.4	2.3
	Load*	403	422	480	659	551

*Color Coding: Peach shaded cells contain values at least 10% lower than the college average; blue shaded cells at least 10% above the college average.


Data Definitions: WSCH is the total Weekly Student Contact Hours resulting from all enrollment within the department.

FTES is the total Full Time Equivalent Student value resulting from all enrollment within the department.

FTE is the Full Time Equivalent faculty associated with the Department's course offerings for that Academic Year.

Load is the ratio of WSCH to FTE and a standard measure of department efficiency.

Department Overview



- * What are the overall trends for Dept FTES & Load? Are the trends moving in the same direction?
- * Were there any deviations or sudden changes in the trend over the period? What do you think might be the underlying causes?
- * How does your Dept load compare with the college average? Are the trends similar? Why might they be different?
- * Given these trends and your reflection on their causes, what do you think are reasonable one-year and three-year targets for FTES & Load?

Table 3. Student Performance Profile

		Academic Year					
Department	Metric	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	
ENGR	Success Rate*	75.0%	77.0%	73.0%	82.0%	80.0%	
	Retention Rate*	80.0%	87.0%	76.0%	85.0%	86.0%	
	Ave Units Attempted this Academic Year	9.4	8.6	9.1	10	9.6	
	Ave Units Earned this Academic Year	7.25	6.7	6.94	8.33	7.92	
	Ave Academic Year GPA	2.57	2.61	2.59	2.72	2.74	
	Ave Cumulative GPA	2.89	2.96	3.04	2.96	2.94	

*Color Coding: Peach shaded cells contain values at least 10% lower than the college average; blue shaded cells at least 10% above the college average.

Data Definitions: Success Rate is the percentage of students receiving a passing grade (A, B, C or CR) relative to all students receiving a grade.

Retention Rate is the percentage of students receiving any grade other than W relative to all students receiving a grade.


Ave Units Attempted this Academic Year is the average number of units associated with students enrollment for the Academic Year after the add/drop deadli

Ave Units Earned this Academic Year is the average number of course units awarded to the student at the end of the given Academic Year.

Ave Academic Year GPA is the average current Academic Year GPA of all students taking courses in the department for the given Academic Year.

Ave Cumulative GPA is the average cumulative GPA of all students taking courses in the department for the given Academic Year.

Student Performance Profile



- * What are the overall trends in success rate and retention rate? Why might they be exhibiting those patterns?
- * Consider the levels & trends in student GPA and Unit Load? Could they explain any of the patterns in success and retention?
- * What do you think are the two or three underlying causes driving those trends and how might they be improved?
- * Are you generally satisfied with the departments current success & retention rates? How do they compare with the college average?

Table 4. Student Enrollment Status Profile

		Academic Year					
Department	Metric	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	
ENGR	First-Time Student	14	12	20	14	23	
	Continuing Student	51	71	91	114	123	
	Returning Student	8	9	14	10	17	
	Concurrent Enrollment	8	5	10	13	5	
	Percent First Time	17%	12%	15%	9%	14%	
	Percent Continuing	63%	73%	67%	75%	73%	
	Percent Returning	10%	9%	10%	7%	10%	
	Percent Concurrent	10%	5%	7%	9%	3%	

Data Definitions:


First Time Student A student that has never attended this DISTRICT, but may have attended or may be currently attending another college.


Continuing Students are those that attended the DISTRICT in immediately previous primary Academic Year. Fall & Spring are primary Academic Years. Returning Student is returning to this DISTRICT and has not attended another institution since the last Academic Year here or is returning to

Returning Student is returning to this DISTRICT and has not attended another institution since the last Academic Year here or is returning to this DISTRICT after attending another college.

Concurrent Enrollment is a student that is attending high school during the Academic Year for which he/she is applying.

2007/08 2011/12





- * How has the proportion first-time, continuing & returning students in your department changed over the period?
- * Does this change suggest any response strategy for the department?
- * How does the current picture compare with the college average and what does that tell you?

Program Review Department Data Packet - ENGR


Table 5. Student Goal Orientation

		Academic Year					
Department	Metric	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	
ENGR	Transfer (w/ or w/o Degree)	39	59	73	91	121	
	Career Dev (Degree, Certificate, License)	1	5	10	3	4	
	Educational Development	11	3	14	14	9	
	4 Yr College Student attending Cañada	24	24	29	38	29	
	Undecided on Goal	5	5	8	5	5	
	% Transfer (w/ or w/o Degree)	48%	61%	54%	60%	72%	
	% Career Dev (Degree, Certificate, License)	1%	5%	7%	2%	2%	
	% Educational Development	14%	3%	10%	9%	5%	
	% 4 Yr College Student attending Cañada	30%	25%	21%	25%	17%	
	% Undecided on Goal	6%	5%	6%	3%	3%	

Data Definitions: All counts & percentages reflect the student's primary educational goal as indicated on their first application.

Note 1: Percentages do not sum to 100% because the Transfer category also includes some degree seaking students.

Student Goal Orientation



- st What are the most important trends occurring over the period? Do the data match your perceptions ?
- * What do you think are the underlying causes driving these trends?
- * Does this change suggest any response strategy for the department?
- * How do the department trends compare to the college? Why might the two show different trends?

Program Review Department Data Packet - ENGR

Table 6. Student Demographics - Ethnicity


		Academic Year						
Department	Metric	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12		
ENGR	American Indian/Alaskan Native		0	0	0	0		
	Asian		19	26	37	33		
	Black - Non-Hispanic		1	1	3	3		
	Filipino		3	7	7	9		
	Hispanic		29	48	47	55		
	Multi-Races		0	4	8	15		
	Pacific Islander		1	1	2	4		
	Unknown		11	13	16	13		
	White Non-Hispanic		33	35	31	36		
	% American Indian/Alaskan Native		0%	0%	0%	0%		
	% Asian		20%	19%	25%	20%		
	% Black - Non-Hispanic		1%	1%	2%	2%		
	% Filipino		3%	5%	5%	5%		
	% Hispanic		30%	36%	31%	33%		
	% Multi-Races		0%	3%	5%	9%		
	% Pacific Islander		1%	1%	1%	2%		
	% Unknown		11%	10%	11%	8%		
	% White Non-Hispanic		34%	26%	21%	21%		

Data Definitions: Ethnicity category percentages may not sum to 100% due to nondisclosures.

2009/10

■ American Indian/Alaskan Native Asian Black - Non-Hispanic ■ Filipino ■ Hispanic ■ Multi-Races ■ Pacific Islander ■ Unknown ■ White Non-Hispanic 0% 19% 26% 1% 5% 10% 1% 35%

2011/12



- * How has ethnicity profile of your department changed over the period? How do you interpret those changes?
- * What might be the underlying causes driving any changes?
- * Does this change suggest any response strategy for the department?
- * How does the current picture compare with the college average and what does that tell you?


Table 7. Student Demographics - Gender & Age

		Academic Yea	r			
Department	Metric	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12
ENGR	Female	15	19	36	31	36
	Male	62	74	93	114	126
	18 & 19 Yrs Old	7	22	24	20	30
	20 - 24 Yrs old	42	45	63	80	80
	25 - 29 Yrs old	13	14	22	23	23
	30 - 39 Yrs Old	12	7	8	10	15
	40+ Yrs old	2	5	7	3	8
	% Female	19%	20%	27%	21%	21%
	% Male	77%	76%	69%	75%	75%
	% 18 & 19 Yrs Old	9%	23%	18%	13%	18%
	% 20 - 24 Yrs old	52%	46%	47%	53%	48%
	% 25 - 29 Yrs old	16%	14%	16%	15%	14%
	% 30 - 39 Yrs Old	15%	7%	6%	7%	9%
	% 40+ Yrs old	2%	5%	5%	2%	5%

Data Definitions: Gender & Age category percentages may not sum to 100% due to nondisclosures.

2007/08

2011/12



- * Have there been any significant changes in the age profile of your students over the period? How do you interpret those changes?
- * What might be the underlying causes driving any changes? Do you expect the trend to continue?
- * How does the current picture for the department compare with the college?
- * Does this change suggest any response strategy for the department?

Program Review Department Data Packet - ENGR

Table 8. Student Education Attainment Level

		Academic Year					
Department	Metric	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	
ENGR	Concurrent	8	5	10	13	5	
	No High School Degree	13	10	17	17	6	
	High School Degree or Equiv	55	78	98	119	144	
	Foreign Secondary Degree	3	3	7	6	6	
	Post Secondary Degree	10	6	13	9	10	
	% Concurrent Enrollment	10%	5%	7%	9%	3%	
	% No High School Degree	16%	10%	13%	11%	4%	
	% High School Degree or Equiv	68%	80%	73%	79%	86%	
	% Foreign Secondary Degree	4%	3%	5%	4%	4%	
	% Post Secondary Degree	12%	6%	10%	6%	6%	


Data Definitions: All counts & percentages reflect the student's primary educational goal as indicated on their first application.

Note 1: Percentages do not sum to 100% because the Transfer category is not mutually exclusive with Degree Orientation.

2007/08

■ Concurrent ■ No High School Degree ■ High School Degree or Equiv ■ Foreign Secondary Degree ■ Post Secondary Degree 9% 11% 3% 15% 62%

2011/12



- * Is the current education attainment profile of your students what you expected?
- * How has the education level of the students in your department been changing over this period?
- * What might be the underlying causes driving any changes? Do you expect the trend to continue?
- * How does the current picture for the department compare with the college?
- * Does this change suggest any response strategy for the department?