## SAN MATEO COUNTY COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT

# CAPITAL OUTLAY – BOND FUND PERFORMANCE REPORT

**JUNE 30, 2003** 



## Vavrinek, Trine, Day & Co., LLP

Certified Public Accountants & Consultants

## INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

Measure C Citizens' Oversight Committee San Mateo County Community College District San Mateo, California

We have performed the agreed-upon procedures listed below, which were agreed to by the management of the San Mateo County Community College District and the Measure C Citizen's Oversight Committee, solely to review 25% of the expenditures of the 2001 General Obligation Bond funds for the period of July 1, 2002 through June 30, 2003 for the purpose of verifying if the use of the funds is within the scope of the published materials specifying the intended use of bond funds. We used election documents, District resolutions, the master plan and the revised master plan as guidance for the intended use of the funds. For any expenditures in question, we recommended that the District obtain the opinion of legal counsel and we informed this committee as to the issues. Management is responsible for San Mateo County Community College District's compliance with those requirements. This agreed-upon procedures engagement was performed in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. The sufficiency of these procedures is solely the responsibility of those specified parties in this report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below for either the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

### Financial Summary

- 1. The general obligation bond funds were authorized at an election of the registered voters of the District held on November 6, 2001. The bonds were authorized at an issuance of \$207,000,000 principal amount for the purpose of financing the addition and modernization of school facilities. The first series of the bonds were issued in 2001 and sold in the amount of \$96,875,613.
- 2. Total expenditures and encumbrances through June 30, 2003, were \$42,562,061.
- 3. An analysis of expenditures is as follows:

	Prior	7/1/02 to	
Expenditures	Periods	6/30/2003	Total
Books and supplies	\$ -	\$ 21,679	\$ 21,679
Services and operating expenses	-	1,517,856	1,517,856
Capital Outlay	377,023	7,182,897	7,559,920
Retentions on construction	96,316	445,253	541,569
Land purchase		7,607,701	7,607,701
Total expenditures	473,339	16,775,386	17,248,725
Other uses of funds			
Bond issuance costs	1,161,750	-	1,161,750
Transfer to capital outlay fund for projects	1,318,256	-	1,318,256
Total expenditures and other uses	\$ 2,953,345	\$16,775,386	19,728,731
Contract commitments, June 30, 2003			22,833,330
Total expenditures and commitments			\$42,562,061

4. Available unspent funds from the first series of bonds as of June 30, 2003 are:

Series A 2001 general obligation bonds principal	\$96,875,613	\$	-	\$96,875,613
Bond premium	1,199,606		-	1,199,606
Bond proceeds available	98,075,219		-	98,075,219
Interest earned in building fund	117,877	3,104	,790	3,222,667
Total revenues received	\$98,193,096	\$ 3,104	,790	101,297,886
Total expenditures and commitments				(42,562,061)
Amount available				\$58,735,825

### Agreed Upon Procedures Performed

- 1. Verify that the expenditure of funds were accounted for separately in the accounting records to allow for accountability.
- 2. Verify that the net funds from the sale of the General Obligation Bonds were deposited in total into the District's accounts by obtaining settlement statement for the new bond issue and verifying amounts deposited into the building and bond interest and redemption funds.
- 3. Select 25% of the expenditures and verify that the funds expended complied with the purpose that was specified to the registered voters of the District through election materials, district resolutions, and master plan. See supplemental information for list of expenditures reviewed.
- 4. Verify that the State and District policies were followed in the awarding of bids and expenditure of the funds.

### Results of Procedures

- 1. The general obligations bond fund expenditures were accounted for separately in the capital outlay bond fund of the District.
- 2. There were no proceeds from bond sales during the current period.
- 3. Our review of the expenditures for the period July 1, 2002, through June 30, 2003, did not reveal any items that were paid from the general obligation bond funds that did not comply with the purpose of the Bonds as approved by the registered voters of the District on November 6, 2001. We did not request that management of the District consult with legal counsel on any expenditures during the current period.
- 4. Our review of the policies over awarding of contracts revealed no exceptions to the policies of the District or the requirements of the State as they relate to awarding of contracts. Our review of the District policies over disbursement of funds revealed no exceptions to the disbursement policies of the District. However, we suggest that in the future the District improve the documentation retained to support the allocation of project expenditures between other funding sources, such as State funding, and bond funding sources.

We were not engaged to, and did not, conduct an examination, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on compliance. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the San Mateo County Community College District and the Measure C Citizen's Fiscal Oversight Committee and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than those specified parties.

Vaurine K, Trine, Day & Co ZLP Pleasanton, California

October 3, 2003

San Mateo County Community College District Bond Expenditures 6/30/2003

td Traced it to Bond Project Oor Worksheet	1	>	>	>>		`	>	<b>&gt;</b> >	•		`	. >		•	<b>,</b> `	> >	• >	٠, >		•	<b>`</b>	<b>&gt;</b>	•			
Authorized by Exhibit A of	**	>	>	**		>	>	<b>&gt;</b> >	•		`	· <b>&gt;</b>		•	> `	<b>,</b> \	٠ >	· <b>&gt;</b>		•	<b>`</b>	<b>&gt;</b> >	•			
Skyline 492xx	NATE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PR																				46,890.90	25,030.03	,	0,000	129,368 581,241 330,	0/.77
CSM 494xx														00 000 39	71,715.88	40 369 46	12.500.00	26,719.73						100 110	215,805 589,674 270/	3/70
Canada 49300				66,540.10 16,956.76		16,993.89	31,261.59	33,873.43 5,142.11			26.323.08	20,028.20												011	217,119 563,686 300,	07.70
District wide 490xx and 49409	2,394,083.66	111,414.29	7,607,701.00																					10 (0/ 030	10,606,038 $15,040,785$ $710.2$	0/1/
Location Coding	49001-1149-6210-715000 49409-1149-6210-715000	49409-1149-6450-715004	49011-1001-7110-716000	49301-1149-6211-715000 49301-1149-6211-715000		49304-1149-6211-715000	49304-1149-6211-715000	49304-1149-6211-715000 49304-1149-6211-715000			49304-1149-6211-715000	49304-1149-6211-715000		49403-1149-6211-715003	49403-1147-0211-715003	49403-1149-6211-715003	49420-1149-6211-715000	49410-1149-6211-715000		0000112 0102 0111 00001	49209-1149-0210-715000	49209-1149-6210-715000		•		•
Amount	2,394,083.66 492,839.00 2,886,922.66	111,414.29	7,607,701	66,540.10 16,956.76 83,496.86		16,993.89	31,261.59	5,142.11	87,271.02		26,323.08	20,028.20	40,331.20	00 000 59	71 215 88	40.369.46	12,500.00	26,719.73	215,805.07	00 000 97	57.030.05	25,447.37	129,368.32	11 168 331	11,108,331 16,775,386 67%	
Item Number in Facilities Project List	i	II.E	III.D.2	I.O/P/Q I.O/P/Q		III.D.2	III.D.2	III.D.2 III.D.2	•		III.D.2	III.D.2		III B.1	III.B.1	III.B.1	I.O/P/Q	I.O/P/Q		<u>۷</u>	I.A	I.A	1	ļ		
Item Number in Exhibit A of Election Doc	4-9	33	51	23-25 23-25		51	51	51			51	51		14	. 4	41	23-25	23-25		_						
Description	Energy Efficiency Project KCSM Digital Project	KCSM Digital Project	Stow Skyline Land Acquisition (in WIP)	iects  Building 3 modernization (theater)  Building 3 modernization (theater)	n Campus modernization program	space programming	Same as above	Same as above		Lionakis Beaumont Design Group Campus programming and space	plan	Same as above	ecture	ISC Programming	CSM Science Center	CSM Science Center	CSM bld 10,11,12	CSM bld 1,5,6	ž s	inig Building I roofing realscement	Building I roofing replacement	Building I roofing replacement		Invoices Tested	Total 02-03 Expenditures per GL Percent Tested	
Invoice Date	Chevron 6/17/2003 6/17/2003	SignaSys 4/2/2003	Deposit to Escow	<b>DES Architects</b> 1/26/2003 B1 4/27/2003 Ba	Noll & Tam	1/31/2003	2/28/2003	4/30/2003		Lionakis Be	10/31/2002	11/15/2002	TRP Architecture	12/22/2002	4/7/2003	6/20/2003	6/20/2003	6/20/2003	Andrie Deeffue	9/3/2002	12/16/2002	3/6/2003				